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Abstract

Superconductor materials that have no resistance to the flow of electricity are one of the last great frontiers of scientific discovery.

Superconductivity in these materials occurs particularly in the copper-oxide (CuO₂) planes. However, since these materials are type-II superconductors, magnetic fields can penetrate these materials in quantized amounts of flux called vortices without completely destroying superconductivity, but producing some resistance, due to vortex motion. In order to overcome the resistance problem, vortices must be pinned to prevent their motion and hence eliminate the resistance.

In this work study we have performed extensive numerical simulations to study the effect of the size of pinning centres on the critical current density of driven vortex lattices interacting with square periodic arrays of pinning sites. This has been carried out at different temperatures and for several values of pinning strengths. We have solved the over damped equation of vortex motion taking into account the vortex-vortex repulsion interaction, the attractive vortex-pinning interaction, the thermal force, and the driving Lorentz force.

We have found that, while the critical current density increases with pinning size at high temperatures, it is almost independent of pinning size at low temperatures. We have also found that increasing the size of the pinning centres suppresses the rate at which the critical current density decreases with temperature.
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Chapter 1

Introduction to superconductivity
1- Introduction

Superconducting is a new state of matter, it was known by Kammerlingh Onnes in 1911 [1]. In 1908 Kammerlingh Onnes liquefied helium, after three years; in 1911 he found that the resistance of mercury, Hg, dropped to zero at temperature below 4.19 K. The most important thing was that the resistance drop was discontinuous, which means that a phase transition to new state with zero resistance took place. The temperature of this phase transition was called the critical temperature $T_c$. Lead (Pb) and Tin (Sn) also give the same transitions. The highest $T_c$ was 23.2 K for Nb$_3$Ge until the discovery of a new class of so-called high-temperature superconductors in 1986.

The superconductivity was found to be destroyed by heating the sample above its critical temperature and by applying a magnetic field above a value called the critical magnetic field. The behaviour of a superconductor in a magnetic field has become the subject of systematic study, especially after the discovery of type II superconductors in 1960.

2- The basic quantities $T_c$, $H_c$ and $J_c$

The thermodynamic critical field $H_c$ is an important magnetic characteristic of a superconductor. In 1933 Meissner and Ochsenfeld found that when a superconductor is cooled below $T_c$ in a weak magnetic filed $H < H_c$, the field is expelled from the sample. This perfect diamagnetism is called the Meissner effect [2]. The physical explanation is that screening supercurrents flow in a thin surface layer of sample, producing a magnetic field which exactly cancels the external field. As a result, the magnetic field inside a superconductor is zero. At $H > H_c$ the superconducting state is unstable and a transition to
normal state with finite resistance occurs. Figure 1.1 shows the relation between $H_c$ and temperature.

![Figure 1.1: The temperature dependence of the critical field $H_c$.](image)

(S is the superconducting state, and N is the normal state)

Another important characteristic of a superconductor is the maximum possible transport current density $J_e$, which can flow without dissipation. According to Silsbee's criterion, a superconductor loses its zero resistance when at any point on the surface the total magnetic field strength, due to the transport current and applied magnetic field, exceeds the critical field strength $H_c$. This quantity $J_e$ is called the thermodynamic critical current density or the depairing current and depends on the external magnetic field and temperature.

Because of the penetration of magnetic flux into the superconductor at magnetic field lower than $H_c$, $J_e$ for most practical superconductor is much smaller than the thermodynamic critical current density. In this respect, according to Abrikosov (1952), superconductors are classified into two kinds: type I and type II superconductors
3- Two types of superconductors

In type I superconductors the magnetic field \( H < H_c \) is completely screened due to Meissner effect and zero resistance is preserved in the field up to \( H_c \). Most type I superconductors are pure elements like Al, Hg, Sn, etc.

Type II superconductors are characterized by incomplete flux expulsion, even in a small magnetic field, which is a fundamental property of these materials. Magnetic field penetrates type II superconductors in form of superconducting vortices. Each vortex carries a magnetic flux equal to a superconducting flux quantum \( \Phi_0 \)

\[
\Phi_0 = \frac{hc}{2e} \approx 2.07 \times 10^{-15} \text{WB}
\]  

where \( h \) is plank's constant \( 6.6262 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J s} \) and \( e \) is the charge of an electron \( 1.60219 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C} \).

These vortices move under external current generating an electric field. Therefore, zero resistance state does not occur in the sample because of the motion of the magnetic vortices. For practical applications it is important to have zero resistance superconductor materials. This is attained if the vortices are prevented from moving. This effect is called vortex pinning.

4- Flux quantization and Josephson effect

The first quantum nature of Superconductivity is the flux quantization. If a superconducting ring carries a supercurrent, magnetic flux inside the ring can have only values which are integer multiples of a superconducting flux quantum \( \Phi_0 \). Thus \( \Phi_0 \) is the unit of magnetic flux distributing within a superconductor [3].
Another quantum nature of superconductivity is the Josephson Effect. If two superconductors are brought into weak electrical contact then nondissipative superconducting current can flow through such contact with zero voltage drops [4].

5- Theories of superconductivity

Since the discovery of superconductivity, great efforts have been devoted to explain the remarkable properties of superconductors. The most important theories are London, the BCS and Ginzburg-Landau theories.

5.1 London theory

In 1935, after the discovery of Meissner effect, London brothers developed a phenomenological theory of superconductivity, which is referred to as London theory [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10]. London theory which deals with the electrodynamics behaviour of superconductors on macroscopic scale was capable of describing a large number of observations. The two basic equation of the London theory are:

\[ \frac{d}{dt}(\Lambda j) = \vec{E}, \]  
\[ \text{curl}(\Lambda j) = -\hbar, \]

where \( \Lambda = \frac{m}{n_s e^2} \) is the screening length and \( m, n_s, \) and \( e \) are the mass, the number per unit volume, and charge of carriers of the super current, respectively. Equation (1.2) means that the change of the current density with time is proportional to the electric field \( \vec{E} \) [7], and equation (1.3) describes the Meissner effect in quantitative way [5, 7]. The most important
conclusions of London theory are: I. The decay of the external field of order $\lambda_L$ in the surface layer of the superconductor. II. The magnetic flux quantization, which was experimentally confirmed in 1961. In spite of the importance of the observation of London theory, it could not give any explanations about the origin of superconductivity on the microscopic scale.

5.2 The BCS theory

The understanding of the theory of superconductivity was advanced in 1957 by three American physicists: John Bardeen, Leon Cooper, and John Schrieffer through their famous theory of superconductivity which is known as the BCS theory [8, 11, 12]. A key conceptual element in the BCS theory is the pairing of electrons (Cooper pair) [5]. This Cooper-pairing results from the slight attraction between the electrons mediated by lattice vibrations (phonon interaction).

Pairing of electrons can behave very differently from single electrons, they do not obey the Pauli Exclusion Principle, but they can condense into the same energy level. The electron pairs have a slightly lower energy and leave an energy gap above them of order of 0.001 eV which inhibits the kind of collision interactions which lead to ordinary resistivity. For temperatures such that the thermal energy is less than the band gap, the material exhibits zero resistivity.

Bardeen, Cooper, and Schrieffer received the Nobel Prize in 1972 for the development of theory of superconductivity.
5.3 Ginzburg-Landau theory

Ginzburg-Landau theory is a mathematical theory used to model superconductivity. This theory was published by Ginzburg and Landau in 1950 and was extended in a subsequent microscopic theory by Gor’kov during 1950-1960, based on BCS theory.

Ginzburg and Landau argued that the free energy $F$ of a superconductor near the superconducting transition can be expressed in terms of a complex order parameter $\psi$ \[5, 8\], which describes how deep into the superconducting phase the system is. The free energy has the form:

$$F = F_n + \alpha |\psi|^2 + \beta |\psi|^4 + \frac{1}{2m} |(-i\hbar \nabla - 2eA)\psi|^2 + \frac{|H|^2}{2\mu_o} \psi$$

where $F_n$ is the free energy density of the normal phase, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are phenomenological parameters depending on the temperature and the material, $A$ is the electromagnetic vector potential, $m$ and $e$ are the mass and charge of the electron respectively and $H$ is the external magnetic field. Integrating equation (1.4) over the sample volume gives the free energy. By minimizing the free energy with respect to fluctuations in the order parameter and the vector potential, we get the two Ginzburg-Landau equations:

$$\alpha \psi + \beta |\psi|^2 \psi + \frac{1}{2m} (-i\hbar \nabla - 2eA)^2 \psi = 0$$

(1.5)

$$J = \frac{2e}{m} (\psi^* (-i\hbar \nabla - 2eA) \psi)$$

(1.6)

where $J$ is the electrical current density. The first equation determines based on the applied magnetic field. The second equation provides the superconducting current \[8\].
The Ginzburg-Landau equations provide many important results. The most important result is its prediction of the existence of two characteristic lengths in a superconductor.

The first is the coherence length $\xi$, given by

$$\xi = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar^2}{2m|\alpha|}}$$

which describes the size of thermodynamic fluctuations in the superconducting phase. $\xi$ characterizes the distance over which $\psi$ decreases to zero. The second is the penetration depth $\lambda$, given by

$$\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{m}{4\mu_o e^2 \psi_o^2}}$$

where $\psi_o$ is the equilibrium value of the order parameter in the absence of an electromagnetic field. The penetration depth describes the depth to which an external magnetic field can penetrate the superconductor [10, 13, 14].

The ratio $\kappa = \lambda/\xi$ is known as the Ginzburg-Landau parameter. For type I superconductors $\kappa < 1/\sqrt{2}$, and for type II superconductors $\kappa > 1/\sqrt{2}$. For type II superconductors, the phase transition from the normal state is of second order, for type I superconductors it is of first order in an applied magnetic field. The most important finding from Ginzburg-Landau theory was made by Alexei Abrikosov in 1957. In a type II superconductor in a relatively high magnetic field- the field penetrates in quantized tubes of flux, which form a hexagonal arrangement in clean sample.
6- Type II superconductivity

The value of the Ginzburg-Landau (GL) parameter $\kappa > 1/\sqrt{2}$, characterizes type II superconductors. Another characteristic is that if a specimen is placed in magnetic field, it does not exhibit total flux expulsion except for very low fields [15]. The penetration field is called the lower critical magnetic field $H_{c1}$ and it is smaller than the thermodynamic critical field $H_c$. $H_{c1}$ can be small as 10-100 G, whereas $H_c$ is of order of $10^3$ G.

Figure 1.2 shows a typical H-T phase diagram for type II superconductor of an ideal cylindrical shape. For $H < H_{c1}$ there is complete flux expulsion (Meissner phase). For $H_{c1} < H < H_{c2}$ magnetic flux penetrates a superconductor but the penetration is incomplete. Complete penetration of a flux takes place at a much higher field $H_{c2}$ which is called the upper critical magnetic field. In the field range $H_{c1} < H < H_{c2}$ the superconductor is described to be in the mixed state. According to Abrikosov's theory, the mixed state results from the penetration of magnetic vortices into a superconductor. Each magnetic vortex carries the flux quantum $\Phi_0$. A superconductor in this region contains finite amount of vortex lines. In equilibrium conditions and in clean samples the vortices form regular vortex lattice. The existence of the vortex lattice was first confirmed by Träuble and Essman in 1967 [16].
6.1 The electromagnetic region (\( \lambda \)) and core region (\( \xi \)) of a single Abrikosov vortex

The structure of a single Abrikosov vortex in a homogeneous bulk type II superconductors is shown in Figure 1.3. The magnetic field is maximum near the center of the line and exponentially decays with distance from the center over the characteristic length \( \lambda \) (the penetration depth). The order parameter \( \psi(\rho) \) is reduced in a small core region of radius of the order of the coherence length \( \xi \); therefore the vortex core can be qualitatively represented as a region of normal phase of cross sectional area \( \sim \xi^2 \). Physically, the reduction of the order parameter in the vortex core is due to large depairing currents flowing near the centre of the vortex line.
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Figure 1.3: A schematic diagram of the distributions of the order parameter $\Psi(\rho)$, the magnetic field $H(\rho)$ and the current density $J(\rho)$ near a single Abrikosov vortex.

Mathematically the magnetic field distribution near the vortex line $H$ can be written in the form

$$ H + \lambda^2 \nabla \times \nabla \times H = \Phi_0 \delta(\rho) e_\rho \quad (1.9) $$

where $e_\rho$ is a unit vector directed along the vortex line, $\delta(\rho)$ is the delta-function and $\rho$ is the distance from the core, and the normalization factor $\Phi_0$ reflects the fact that the vortex carries exactly one magnetic flux quantum. The solution of equation (1.9) is:

$$ H_\rho = \frac{\Phi_0}{2\pi \lambda^2} K_0 \left( \frac{\rho}{\lambda} \right) \quad (1.10) $$

where $K_0$ is the zero-order Bessel function of an imaginary argument.
The vortex line energy per unit length $\varepsilon$ can be calculated using the free energy functional of the London theory and is given by:

$$
\varepsilon = \left( \frac{\Phi_0}{4\pi\lambda} \right)^2 \ln \kappa. \tag{1.11}
$$

This quantity is a vortex line tension and is important for many estimates regarding energy scale in type II superconductors. The above formula includes contributions of magnetic field and electric currents to the total energy of vortex. An additional contribution is the core energy which is given by the superconducting condensation energy within the vortex. Exact numerical integration of GL equations leads to the following expression for the total energy

$$
\varepsilon = \left( \frac{\Phi_0}{4\pi\lambda} \right)^2 (\ln \kappa + \alpha) \tag{1.12}
$$

where $\alpha \approx 0.5$ represents the core contribution to the vortex energy [17].

### 6.2 The lower critical magnetic field

The lower critical magnetic field $H_{cl}$ is the magnetic field strength where the Meissner effect is destroyed and vortices of cylindrical shape start to penetrate into the bulk of a type II superconductor. It is given by

$$
H_{cl} = \frac{4\pi\varepsilon}{\Phi_0} \approx \frac{\Phi_0}{4\pi\lambda^2} \ln \kappa \tag{1.13}
$$

where the core contribution is neglected. For $T = 0$ the relation between $H_{cl}$ and $H_c$ has the form $H_{cl}/H_c = \ln \kappa/\sqrt{2\kappa}$. 
6.3 The upper critical magnetic field

The high magnetic field strength up to which the mixed state can persist is called the upper critical magnetic field $H_{c2}$. $H_{c2}$ can be estimated from using GL theory [18] as:

$$H_{c2}(T) = \sqrt{2kH_c(T)} = \frac{\Phi_o}{2\pi\xi^2(T)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.14)

This suggests that materials with a high value of $k$ remain in the mixed state until quite strong magnetic fields are applied. Physically $H_{c2}$ corresponds to the onset of the overlap between the vortex cores. The upper critical field was found to grow with $T_c$ of a superconductor and can be of the order of 20 - 40 T for commercially available superconductors.

For $H > H_{c2}$ a macroscopic sample does not show flux expulsion; however a superconducting phase still remains in thin surface layer of the order of $\xi(T)$. This surface superconductivity exists in an interval $H_{c2} < H < H_{c3}$, where the so-called surface nucleation field $H_{c3} \approx 1.69H_{c2}$ [19].

6.4 Vortex pinning

There are two types of pinning forces; the elementary pinning force and the bulk pinning force density. An example of elementary pinning force is the interaction between a flux line and a void which may be present due to the manufacturing process of type II material. When a vortex passes through the void, its energy is lowered by roughly the product of the condensation energy density and the void dimensions. In practical superconductors, defects
which act as pinning centers include various lattice defects, nonsuperconducting precipitates, grain boundaries, dislocations, etc.

The bulk pinning force density $F_p$ is the pinning force per unit volume of a pinning centre, given as a product of the critical current density and the corresponding magnetic flux density:

$$F_p = J_c B.$$ 

The pinning becomes most effective when the thickness of the sample, $d$ becomes of the order of $\lambda$. For $d << \lambda$ the pinning force vanishes. A successful theory for the description of random pinning is the collective pinning theory [20], which assume that the long-range order of the vortex lattice is destroyed by the presence of the disorder, leaving a short range order over some correlation length $L_c$ which depends on the elasticity of the lattice determined by the vortex – vortex interaction and on the disorder. Each correlated volume is assumed to be pinned independently by a total pinning force. The critical current can then be estimated from the equilibrium condition between the driving Lorentz force and the total pinning force acting on this volume. The disorder strength is parameterized by $\gamma = f_p^2 n_t \xi^2$ where $f_p$ is the elementary pinning force for a single defect and $n_t$ is the concentration of defects. The collective pinning length $L_c$ is given by:

$$L_c = \left( \frac{\varepsilon_0^2 \xi^2}{\gamma} \right)^{1/2}$$

(1.15)

where $\varepsilon_0$ is related to the energy of a vortex line per unit length

$$\varepsilon_0 = \left( \frac{\Phi_0}{4\pi \lambda} \right)^2$$

(1.16)

For weak disorder (small $\gamma$) the collective pinning length $L_c$ is typically much larger than the coherence length $\xi$, $L_c >> \xi$. For $L_c \sim \xi$, the pinning should be considered as strong.
In the collective pinning theory the critical current density $J_c$ is determined by equating the total effective pinning force $(\gamma L J)^{1/2}$ with the lorentz force $J_c \Phi_0 L c / c$ and is given by

$$J_c \approx J_o \left( \frac{\xi}{L_c} \right)^{1/2} \quad (1.17)$$

The regime of weak collective pinning (large $L_c >> \xi$) is characterized by a large reduction of the critical current density $J_c$ with respect to the depairing value $J_o$. On the other hand, in the strong pinning regime, with $L_c \sim \xi$, the critical current density $J_c$ achieves its maximum possible value of the depairing current $J_o$. This is the situation one needs for practical purposes in hard type II superconductors.

At high magnetic fields, the condensation energy decreases which leads to a corresponding decrease of $J_c$.

6.5 The resistive state of type II superconductor

As mentioned above, the flow of magnetic vortices under an external current leads to the generation of an electric field. This state of type II superconductor is called the resistive state. The corresponding resistivity is called the flux-flow resistivity $\rho_f$ and is given by the following simple expression

$$\rho_f = \frac{\Phi_0 B}{c^2 \eta} \quad (1.18)$$

Where $\eta$ is the viscous drag coefficient and it is given by:

$$\eta = \frac{\Phi_0 H c_2}{\rho_n c^2} \quad (1.19)$$

Where $\rho_n$ is the normal-state resistivity of a material [21].
7. High temperature superconductivity

Since the discovery of superconductivity in 1911, the search for superconductivity with high transition temperature, above the liquid nitrogen temperature of 77K, has been one of the most challenging tasks to physicists and material scientists.

In 1986 Bednorz and Muller made a remarkable discovery, they achieved superconductivity at around 30 K in the Ba-La-Cu-O system [22]. The material they used was \( \text{La}_2\text{CuO}_4 \), in which Ba, Sr or Ca was introduced to replace some of the La atoms. Several months after the discovery of the Ba-La-Cu-O system, groups at the universities of Alabama and Houston jointly announced the discovery of superconductivity above 77 K in the Y-Ba-Cu-O (YBCO) system [23]. With the exact stoichiometry and the general structure of the superconducting phase determined. Attempts were made to replace Y by the rare-earth elements to examine their role in high-temperature superconductivity. It was found that nearly all of the rare-earth elements, including magnetic rare earths like Gd, could be substituted for Y without having a significant effect on the transition temperature. Thus a new class of superconductors, \( \text{ABa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-\delta} \) with \( \text{A} = \text{Y}, \text{La}, \text{Nd}, \text{Sm}, \text{Eu}, \text{Gd}, \text{Ho}, \text{Er} \text{ or Lu} \), with \( T_c \) above 90 K was discovered. There are two exceptions, the rare earth Ce and Pr. In 1988, many new compounds and classes of compound were discovered. Notable among these with the Bi-Sr-Cu-O and the Bi-Sr-Ca-Cu-O (BSCCO) compound with transition temperature up to 115 K and the Tl-Ba-Ca-Cu-O (TBCCO) compounds with transition temperature up to 125 K [24].

The family of high-\( T_c \) superconductors is very large. Despite the high \( T_c \) compounds having many different structures presence of the cooper oxide layers.
For large-scale applications, large currents in superconducting wires and cables are required in environments where the magnetic field is strong. The advantage of high-\(T_c\) superconductors is that superconductivity is achieved above 77 K which means that they can be cooled using liquid nitrogen. High-\(T_c\) superconductors should be type II materials with extremely high \(H_{c2}\) values. Current applications for high-\(T_c\) superconductors include wires and superconducting magnets, magnetic levitated trains, etc.

For practical applications the flux-flow regime must be avoided. Specific quantum properties of superconductors generally valid at 77 K can be used for electronic applications. Very promising is the use of high-\(T_c\) superconductors in passive microwave devices such as transmission lines and high quality resonators. The best known examples for the active devices are the Superconducting Quantum Interference Device SQUIDs and detectors based on Josephson and quasiparticle tunnelling.

High temperature superconductivity is now evolving from a research area to a commercial industry. However, the practical use of high-\(T_c\) superconductors is more difficult than was expected, and to take full advantage of superconductivity at 77 K many fundamental and technological problems remain to be solved.

7.1 Features of High-temperature superconductors

High-\(T_c\) superconductors (HTSC) are extreme type-II superconductors containing Abrikosov flux lines in a large range of applied fields between \(H_{c1} \approx 0.01\) T and \(H_{c2} \approx 100\) T. Apart from their high transition temperatures (\(T_c \approx 90 - 125\) K), HTSC differ from conventional
superconductors by their short coherence length $\xi$, large magnetic penetration depth $\lambda$, and pronounced material anisotropy and layered structure.

These four properties drastically enhance the thermally activated depinning of flux lines. Small $\xi$ reduces the pinning energy. Large $\lambda$ softens the flux-lines lattice (FLL) and thus reduces the size of the correlated volume in which the FLL is pinned collectively. Thermal depinning means that the resistivity of a HTSC in a magnetic field does not completely vanish even at low current densities. The layered structure of HTSC causes two fascinating novel phenomenon: I: A flux line is now a string of two-dimensional pancake vortices in the superconducting CuO layers. These 2D vortices interact magnetically over a distance $\lambda$ and by Josephson coupling between neighbouring layers. Strong coupling means that this stack of 2D vortices behaves as a usual 3D flux line. Weak coupling means (large anisotropy) means the flux line is very flexible and can evaporate into independent 2D pancake vortices. Furthermore, uncorrelated 2D vortex lattices can occur in the CuO layers, and in zero magnetic field values, spontaneous nucleation of vortex-anti-vortex pairs can cause a Kosterlitz-Thouless phase transition. II: flux lines parallel to the CuO layers are “Josephson vortices” which have their core in between layers where the superconducting order is reduced or zero. In oblique applied field the vortices form kinks consisting of pancake vortices connected by Josephson vortices. All these features strongly influence the resistivity of HTSC [25].
Chapter 2

Numerical Method
In this chapter we describe our system of superconducting material with square vortex array. We present the equation of motion governing the motion of vortices. We end the chapter by discussing the numerical method used to solve the equations of motion.

1- Introduction:

In high-T$_c$ superconductors (HTSCs), there is a total expulsion of magnetic flux up to a lower critical field $H_{c1}$, at fields greater than the upper critical field $H_{c2}$ there is complete penetration of magnetic flux and the material becomes normal, and at field between $H_{c1}$ and $H_{c2}$ the magnetic field penetrates the HTSC in the form of quantized magnetic flux lines (vortices). The total magnetic flux that each vortex contains is exactly one quantum of magnetic flux $\Phi_0 = \frac{hc}{2e} = 2.07 \times 10^{-15}$ Wb, where $h$ is Plank’s constant that equals $6.62629 \times 10^{-34}$ J s, and $e$ is the charge of electron that equals $1.60219 \times 10^{-19}$ C. The vortices repel each other and spread out over the entire superconductor volume forming a regular array, known as the Abrikosov vortex lattice.

In order to use the HTSCs in technology, vortices must be pinned in their places. When the pinning force is equal to the maximum deriving Lorentz force the vortices will be stationary. In addition, spatial inhomogeneity of the superconducting material will contribute to a finite pinning force.

At high temperature, the pinning of vortices in HTSCs was found to be fairly weak [26, 27]. Hence there have been many efforts to enhance the pinning properties in HTSCs by creating structural defects in them using energetic radiations. Irradiation by neutrons [28–32], protons
[33], electrons [34, 35], x-rays [36], and heavy ions [37–42] has been very successful in this respect.

General interest in lithographically-created well-defined nanostructure periodic arrays of pinning centres has now increased such that it is possible to construct samples with well defined periodic pinning structures in which the microscopic pinning parameters, such as size, depth, periodicity, and density, can be carefully controlled [26, 43–48].

Periodic pinning arrays are also of technological importance since the arrays can produce higher critical current density than in the case of an equal number of randomly placed pins [49, 50]. This enhancement of critical current density using periodic arrays has recently been demonstrated for high critical temperature (high-\(T_c\)) systems [51, 52].

Recent simulations of vortices interacting with periodic pinning arrays [52–55] or random pinning distributions [56] did not focus on the effect of size of pinning centres on the behaviour of the critical current density as function of temperature. Instead those studies have focused on the ordering states of vortex lattice at integer matching fields [53], at fractional submatching fields [54], on the multivortex states [55], and on the melting transition in a random disorder and at a fixed temperature [56]. Owing to its large impact on technological development of HTSCs, the temperature dependence of the critical current density is one of the most important aspects being studied in experimental research on HTSCs.

We have recently performed numerical calculations on the effect of pinning density and pinning strength on the critical current density as a function of temperature [56, 57]. In the present work, we extend our previous calculations to investigate the effect of the size of pinning centres on the behaviour of the critical current density in square periodic arrays of
pinning sites as the pinning strength is varied. We relate our results to theoretical, numerical, and experimental data published in several articles. [59]

2- The system:

We consider a 2D transverse slice (in the xy-plane) of an infinite 3D slab containing rigid vortices and columnar defects, all parallel to both the sample edge and the applied field \( H = Hz \). These vortices attain a uniform density \( n_v \), allowing us to define the external field \( H = n_v \Phi_0 \). This model is most relevant to superconductors with periodic arrays of columnar defects or thin-film superconductors where the vortices can be approximated by 2D objects.

Figure 2.1 is a schematic plot of square pinning sites and vortices and the forces between them.

![Schematic plot of square pinning sites and vortices and forces](image)

Figure 2.1: (a) Schematic plot of square pinning sites represented by circles and vortices represented by dots. (b) Vortex-vortex and vortex pin forces.
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3- The equation of motion:

The overdamped equation of motion for each vortex is given by [58, 56, 57]:

\[ f_{i\text{tot}} = f_{i\text{vv}} + f_{i\text{vp}} + f_{i\text{T}} + f_{d} = \eta \dot{v}_i, \]  

(1)

where \( f_{i\text{tot}} \) is the total force on vortex \( i \), \( f_{i\text{vv}} \) is the vortex-vortex force, \( f_{i\text{vp}} \) is the vortex-pin force, \( f_{d} \) is the driving force in the x-direction corresponding to the Lorentz force, and \( f_{i\text{T}} \) is the effective force resulting from thermal noise. The difference forces appearing in equation (1) are described in details in the following subsections:

3.1 The vortex-vortex force

The force due to the interaction of vortex \( i \) with other vortices \( f_{i\text{vv}} \), is given by [53]:

\[ f_{i\text{vv}} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_v} f_0 K_1 \left( \frac{|r_i - r_j|}{\lambda} \right) \hat{r}_y, \]  

(2)

where \( N_v \) is the number of vortices, \( \hat{r}_y = (r_i - r_j)/|r_i - r_j| \), (shown in figure 2.1), \( K_1(\tau/\lambda) \) is the modified Bessel function of the first kind, \( \lambda \) is the penetration depth, and

\[ f_0 = \frac{\Phi_0^2}{8\pi^2 \lambda^3}, \]  

(3)

Is to be considered as our unit of force.

The Bessel function decays exponentially for \( |\tau| \) greater than \( \lambda \), so for computational efficiency we found that the interaction can be safely cut off at \( 6\lambda \) [47].

In thin-film superconductors the long range vortex-vortex interaction decays as \( 1/r \) unlike in 3D bulk superconductors; however, the excellent agreement between calculated results and
experiments in thin films [31, 53] indicates that the calculated results are valid for both slabs and thin films and are general enough to be applicable to other system with repulsive particles on a periodic substrate (e.g., colloids).

### 3.2 The vortex-pin force

The vortex-pin force $f_i$, it is given by:

$$
\mathbf{f}_i^p = \sum_{k=1}^{N_p} \left( \frac{f_p}{r_p} \right) |\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_k^{(p)}| \Theta \left( \frac{r_p - |\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_k^{(p)}|}{\lambda} \right) \mathbf{r}_k^{(p)},
$$

(4)

where $\Theta$ is the Heaviside step function, $f_p$ is the maximum pinning force, $N_p$ is the number of pinning sites, $r_p$ is the radius of the pinning sites, $r_k$ is the position of the $k^{th}$ pinning site, and $\mathbf{r}_k^{(p)} = (r_i - r_k^{(p)})/|r_i - r_k^{(p)}|$. The vortex-pin force can be also taken as a parabolic function, but we didn’t take as a parabolic function we take only the Heaviside step function $\Theta$.

### 3.3 The driving force

If an external current density $\mathbf{J}$ is applied to a superconductor in the mixed state it will cause the flux lines to move under the action of Lorentz force $\mathbf{F}_L = \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}/c$, where $B = n \phi_0$ and $n$ is the vortex density per unit area [26,60].

This motion of vortices produces a finite electric field $\mathbf{E} = -\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{v}/c$ along $\mathbf{J}$, where $\mathbf{v}$ is the vortex velocity. These motions cause power dissipation in the superconductor. To prevent this dissipation, the vortices have to be pinned such that $\mathbf{v} = 0$. In this case the driving Lorentz
force is counteracted by the pinning force $f_p$. Fortunately, special inhomogeneity of the superconducting material will contribute to a finite pinning force. The vortices will be stationary when the pinning force is equal to the maximum driving Lorentz force and the critical current density is thus given by $J_c = c f_p / B$ (for $J$ perpendicular to $B$). This critical current density leads to the depinning of the vortices and hence to reappearance of dissipation. Dissipation-free flow is thus a matter of optimizing the pinning force $f_p$ to give the largest $J_c$ possible.

3.4 Effect of the temperature

The thermal fluctuations are accounted for by a stochastic term that has the properties $< f_i^T > = 0$ and $< f_i^T (t) f_j^T (t') > = 2 \eta k_B T \delta(t - t') \delta_{ij}$, where $f_i^T$ is given by $f_i^T = Af_i$, and $A$ is the number we tune to vary $T$. In this manner the temperature is given by $T = 1 / (2 \eta k_B (Af_0)^2 \Delta t)$, where $\Delta t$ is the time step used in the numerical simulation [47].

4- The numerical method:

Our system has a size of $36 \lambda \times 36 \lambda$. The pinning sites are distributed over this area in a square array with a density $n_p = 2.0 / \lambda^2$. We measure all forces in units of $f_0 = \Phi_0 / 8 \pi^2 \lambda^3$, fields in units of $\Phi_0 / \lambda^2$, lengths in units of $\lambda$, temperature in units of $f_0 / k_B$, and the velocity in units of $f_0 / \eta$. Furthermore we take $f_0 = k_B = \eta = 1$. 
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Figure 2.2: The average velocity $\bar{v}_x$ vs. the driving force $f_d$ at different temperatures. $\bar{v}_x$ is in units of $f_0/\eta$ and $f_d$ is in units of $f_0$. 

$\frac{f}{p} = 1 \quad r = 0.2$

$\frac{f}{p} = 5 \quad r = 0.5$
Initially, we place the vortices of density $n_v = 0.75/\lambda^2$ in a perfect square lattice, then slowly increase a spatially uniform driving force $f_d$ from zero to a maximum value and measure the average velocity over all $N_v$ vortices:

$$\bar{v}_x = \frac{1}{N_v} \sum_{i=1}^{N_v} v_{i,x}$$  \hspace{2cm} (5)

For each drive increment we measure the average vortex velocity in the direction of drive, $\bar{v}_x$.

The average velocity $\bar{v}_x$ versus the force $f_d$ curve corresponds experimentally to a voltage-current, $V(I)$, curve and the critical depinning force $F_d^c$ corresponds to the critical current density. The critical depinning force is defined to be the driving force value at which $\bar{v}_x$ exhibits a sharp jump and thus marking a transition from the pinned to the moving vortex phase.

We used the Euler method to solve the equations of motion. The time step used is $\Delta t = 0.02$.

We found that the maximum time needed for the vortices to reach a steady state is $2 \times 10^4$ for all of our calculations. The actual computation time was about 11 hours for each curve of Fig. 2 performed on Pentium IV personal computer with a speed of 2.2 Ghz.

We can see in figure 2.2 that the average velocity of vortices is almost zero until a critical value of driving force $f_d$ is reached where there is a sudden jump in the average velocity corresponds to the depinning of all vortices and flowing in same direction. And as temperature increase the depinning shift to lower $f_d$ value, also we can see that at high temperature the average velocity increase linearly with $f_d$, and as $f_d$ get very large the curves converge and linear regime is attained.
Chapter 3

Results and discussion
1- Introduction

In this chapter, we present the results that we have obtained through the simulations of driven vortices to study the effect of the pinning size on the critical current density.

The system we consider is a two-dimensional transverse slice (in the xy plane) superconductor, which contains a fixed number of vortices $N_v = 961$, and a fixed number of pinning sites, $N_p = 2601$. These pinning sites are ordered in a square lattice of size $36\lambda \times 36\lambda$. This corresponds to a density $n_p = 2/\lambda^2$, and $n_v = 0.75/\lambda^2$.

We have simulated the dynamics of the vortices in this system starting from an initial state where all the vortices are pinned. By applying a force $F$ and tuning on the temperature vortices start to move.

The average velocity of all vortices is computed as a function of time. Once the average velocity reaches a steady value, the values of the velocity and the corresponding driving force are recorded. Finally a curve, such as figure 3.1, represented the average velocity versus the driving force is obtained.

Physically, the driving force represents the Lorentz force due to an applied current and the average velocity is proportional to the potential difference.

This kind of simulation has been carried out extensively with different parameters. Since in this study we are interested in the effect of the pinning size on the critical current density, we varied the pinning strength $f_p$ and the pinning size $r_p$, with $f_p = (1, 3, 5)f_o$ and $r_p = (0.2-0.6)\lambda$, with a step of $0.1\lambda$. when we increasing the pinning size, we made sure that they do not overlap [33,34,54].
2- The vortex average velocity $\bar{v}_z$ versus the driving force $f_d$

In molecular dynamic simulations, we have used the over-damped equation for each vortex in the system.

We have calculated the average velocity $\bar{v}_z$ for all the vortices in the system as the driving force is increased. Fig 3.1 represents the steady state average velocity $\bar{v}_z$ versus the driving force $f_d$ for $f_p = f_\infty$, $r_p = 0.2\lambda$, and for 2 temperature $T_1 = 1$, $T_2 = 4$ (where the temperature is measured in units of $f_0/k_B$).

The curves clearly show 2 different regions for each temperature. The first region has very low average velocity, which corresponds to the system of pinned vortices, and the second region corresponds to unpinned vortices where the average velocity increases linearly with the driving force.

In between, there is a critical region, where the value of the driving force for which the average velocity $\bar{v}_z$ has a sudden jump corresponds to the critical value $F_d^c$ of the driving force, that is the maximum force before the vortices get unpinned.
Figure 3.1: The average velocity $\bar{v}_x$ vs. the driving force $f_p$ at two different temperatures.
All the fifteen cases, corresponding to the three values of $f_p$ and the five values of $r_p$, are represented in figs. 3.2-3.4.

For each set of parameters, such as $f_p=1$ and $r_p = 0.2$ shown in fig. 3.2a, we plot the steady state average velocity for all vortices versus the driving force $f_d$ for the range of temperatures $T=1-10$, with temperature step of 1.

The general observation one can see from figs (3.2-3.4), as mentioned before that there is a sudden jump in the average velocity due to the vortices depinning, as the temperature increases the onset of depinning shifts to lower driving forces due to the thermal energy which suppresses the effect of pinning forces, also we can see that at high temperatures the average velocity increases linearly with the driving force. And as the driving force becomes very large all the curves converge and a linear regime is attained independently of the temperature. This is expected as all the vortices are depinned and the average velocity becomes directly proportional to the applied driving force.

From these dynamic phase diagrams, we can identify two distinct phases at low temperatures; a plastic phase at low driving forces and an elastic phase at high driving forces. The plastic phase appears when only a small number of vortices are depinned and move under the influence of the driving force. The elastic phase appears when all the vortices become depinned and flow collectively in one direction, giving a sharp rise in the average velocity. The transition from plastic to elastic phase is smeared out as temperature increases and disappears at high temperatures, where we see only an elastic phase.
Figure 3.2: The average velocity $\bar{v}$ vs. the driving force $f_d$ for $f_p=1$, for different $r_p$ and different temperatures. $\bar{v}$ is in unit of $f_o/\eta$ and $f_d$ is in unit of $f_o$. 
Figure 3.3: The average velocity $\bar{v}$ vs. the driving force $f_d$ for $f_p=3$, for different $r_p$ and different temperatures. Units here is the same as mentioned in figure 3.2.
Figure 3.4: The average velocity $v_x$ vs. the driving force $f_d$ for $f_p=5$, for different $r_p$ and different temperatures. Units here is the same as mentioned in figure 3.2.
From the curves of the average velocity versus the driving force in figures (3.2-3.4) we calculated the critical depinning force $F_d^c$ (which is directly related to the critical current density) at each temperature for specific values of $r_p$ and $f_p$. The critical depinning force is the value of the driving force at which an abrupt change in the average velocity of the vortices occurs. From Figs (3.2-3.4), we see that while $F_d^c$ can be exactly defined at low temperatures, it becomes difficult to define at higher temperatures. In addition, the appearance of the sub-ohmic behavior in the plastic region prohibits using a constant value of the average velocity as a criterion to define $F_d^c$. To overcome these difficulties of the suitable criteria used for the critical depinning force is the value of the driving force at which $\bar{v}_x$ reaches a value of 0.03 above the sub-ohmic response [54, 56, 57].

For a practical purposes, we chosen to define the critical driving force $F_d^c$ as shown in fig. 3.5.

Figure 3.5 shows how we calculated the critical depinning force $F_d^c$ from the $\bar{v}_x$ vs. $f_p$ curve.

$F_d^c$ is the intersection between the two straight lines corresponding to the pinned and unpinned regimes of the vortex system, as shown in fig. 3.5.
Figure 3.5: Calculation of $F^c_d$ from the $\bar{v}_x$ vs. $f_p$ curve

$\begin{align*}
  f_p &= 3 \\
  r_p &= 0.5
\end{align*}$
We calculated $F_d^c$ for each temperature for each set of values of $r_p$ and $f_p$. Once $F_d^c$ was defined for all these parameters, we were able to study: The dependence of the critical depinning force $F_d^c$ on temperature and, The effect of the pinning size on the critical depinning force $F_d^c$.

3. The dependence of the critical depinning force $F_d^c$ on temperature:

In this section, we investigate the effect of temperature on the critical depinning force $F_d^c$ for different values of $r_p$ and fixed value of $f_p$.

Figure 3.6 shows the critical depinning force $F_d^c$ as function of temperature for several values of the size of the pinning centers $r_p$ at fixed pinning strength $f_p$.

It can be seen from this figure that the rate of decrease of the critical depinning force becomes faster as $r_p$ decreases. The slowest rate of decrease of $F_d^c$ as function of temperature occurs for the largest $r_p$ values for all values of $f_p$. For $f_p = 1$, $F_d^c$ decreases almost linearly with temperature for $r_p = 0.6$. This behavior of $F_d^c$ as function of temperature is supported theoretically [61], where it was suggested that vortex pinning at low temperatures is predominantly produced by point defects while at high temperatures it is produced by extended defects. This was also observed experimentally [27], where a contrasting behavior of the critical current density as function of temperature in $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-\delta}$ and $Ba_{0.57}K_{0.43}BiO_3$ polycrystalline samples was found. In $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-\delta}$ samples, $J_c$ was found to decrease fast at low temperatures and slows down as the temperature is increased. In $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-\delta}$ samples, $J_c$
was found to decrease slowly (almost linearly) at all temperatures. The sharp decrease of $J_c$ as the temperature increases in $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-\delta}$ was attributed to the oxygen vacancies, which is commonly present in such samples, whereas they are essentially absent in $Ba_{0.57}K_{0.43}BiO_3$ samples. The results of our numerical calculations provide a firm and solid support to the experimental and theoretical results. Pinning centers with small $r_p$ behave as point-like defects so they become less important in pinning vortices at high temperatures. Whereas pinning centers with large $r_p$ behave as extended defects, which play a significant role in pinning vortices at all temperatures. Similar behavior of $F_d^c$ versus temperature is also seen in Fig. 3.6b and Fig. 3.6c for different values of pinning strength, $f_p$. 
Figure 3.6: The critical depinning force $F_d^c$ as a function of temperature for several values of the radius of the pinning center $r_p$ and pinning strength $f_p$. $F_d^c$ and $f_p$ are in unit of $f_o$ and $T$ is in unit of $\lambda f_o/K_B$. 
4. The effect of the pinning size on the critical depinning force $F_d^c$

In this section, we investigate the effect of the pinning radius $r_p$ on the critical depinning force $F_d^c$. Figure 3.7 shows the critical depinning force, $F_d^c$, as function of the radius of the pinning center, for several values of temperature and fixed value of the pinning strength $f_p$.

It is seen that the values of $F_d^c$ at any specific temperature are large for large values of $r_p$. These results were also experimentally found [62], where it was shown that vortex pinning was improved by increasing the size of columnar defects in BSCCO single crystals. The critical current density was experimentally found to increase as the defect size increases in an array of Josephson junctions with columnar defects [63]. The increase of critical current density with increasing the defect size was also predicted by numerical calculations on periodic array of loops [55], but the main focus of others work was to study the multi-vortex states configurations and their calculations were done only at absolute zero temperature. Using numerical calculations based on Ginzburg-Landau Theory on 2-Dimensional model of extreme type-II superconductor [64] it was found that the critical current density increases linearly with increasing the size of the defect, however these calculations suggest that the increase in the critical current density with the defect radius is substantially smaller than the phenomenological estimate made in [26]. In the latter reference, it is suggested that the critical current density is proportional to the square of the defect radius $r_p$. We find a linear dependence of $F_d^c$ on $r_p$ only at high temperatures while no dependence on $r_p$ is found at any temperature. In Figs. 3.7 and 3.8, we notice that at high temperatures, $F_d^c$ increases almost linearly with $r_p$ for all $f_p$ values. As the temperature decreases, $F_d^c$ approaches saturation at large $r_p$ values. This saturation appears sooner at low temperatures, indicating that the
increase in the size of the defect ceases to enhance the critical current density. This puts an upper limit on the usefulness of the size of the defect in enhancing the critical current density, while at high temperatures, this role is still significant. Our simulations are made for several values of temperature ranging from very low to high temperatures. Hence, our calculation allow a more detailed and qualitative and quantitative study of the dependence of critical depinning force on the size of the pinning centers as a function of temperature and pinning strength.
Figure 3.7: The critical depinning force $F_d$ as a function of the radius of the pinning center $r_p$.

The pinning strength $f_p$ is fixed for all curves in the sub figures. Units here is the same as mentioned in figure 3.6.
Figure 3.8: The critical depinning force $F_d^c$ as a function of the radius of the pinning center $r_p$ the temperature is fixed for all curves in the sub figures. Units here is the same as mentioned in figure 3.6.
Conclusions

The high temperature superconductors are very promising materials in a wide range of applications, such as the Supercomputers, SQUIDS, electric power transmission, motors, MRI, and magnetically levitated trains.

For most of technological applications of HTSCs, it is very important to have high critical current density. Numerical simulations are very effective tool in studying the effect of the size of pinning centres and temperature on the critical current density in the superconductor.

In this thesis we have conducted extensive numerical study on the effect of the size of pinning centres and temperature on the critical current density in the superconductor with square periodic arrays of pinning sites.

The results we have got show that the critical current density at any temperature increases as the size of the pinning centres increases. We found also that for small size of pinning centres, the critical depinning force decreases rapidly as the temperature is increased, resembling the effect of point defects. And for large size, the rate of decrease becomes slower, resembling the effect of extended defects. We also found that at low temperatures, there is an upper limit to the effect of the size of pinning centres in enhancing the critical current density. Our results are in excellent agreement with theoretical and numerous experimental results.

From our results one can see that high temperature superconductors can be useful in technological application if we can increases the size of the pinning centres.
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